PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER		see Form PCT/ISA/220				
227-03895	ACTION as well		as, where applicable, item 5 below.				
International application No.	International filing date (day/mont	h/year)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)				
PCT/IL2004/000034	13/01/2004		13/01/2003				
Applicant							
GLUCON INC. This International Search Report has been according to Article 18. A copy is being tra	ansmitted to the international Burea	u.	nority and is transmitted to the applicant				
This International Search Report consists		eets.					
X It is also accompanied by	a copy of each prior art document	ited in this	report				
The International this Authority (Ru	ess otherwise indicated under this i search was carried out on the basis le 23.1(b)).	of a transl	ation of the international application in the ation of the international application furnished to in the international application, see Box No. I.				
or	vide ambor amino acia sequenci	a disclosed	in the international approach, see box No. 1.				
2. Certain claims were fou	Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box II).						
3. Unity of invention is lack	king (see Box III).						
4. With regard to the title,							
X the text is approved as su	bmitted by the applicant.						
=	hed by this Authority to read as follo	ws:					
5. With regard to the abstract,							
X the text is approved as su	*						
may, within one month fro	med, according to Hule 38.2(b), by the mailing of this International the date of mailing of this International the date of mailing of the second seco	his Authori tional sear	ity as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant ch report, submit comments to this Authority.				
6. With regards to the drawings,							
a. the figure of the drawings to be p	ublished with the abstract is Figure	No 1					
X as suggested by t		. —					
as selected by thi	s Authority, because the applicant t	ailed to sug	ggest a figure.				
	s Authority, because this figure bet	er characte	erizes the invention.				
b none of the figures is to b	e published with the abstract.						

Form PCT/ISA/210 (first sheet) (January 2004)

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
PCT/IL2004/000034

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC 7 A61B5/00 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC B. FIELDS SEARCHED Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) IPC 7 A61B G01N Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Electronic data base consulted during the International search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used) EPO-Internal, WPI Data, PAJ C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Relevant to claim No. Citation of document, with Indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Category ° 1,2,53, WO 91/18548 A (CLIFT VAUGHAN) X 57-60 12 December 1991 (1991-12-12) page 4, column 3 - page 6, column 11; 3,61 Υ figures 8-16 page 11, column 16 - page 15, column 2 3,61 WO 01/66005 A (DISETRONIC LICENSING AG ; REIHL BRUNO (CH); HAUETER ULRICH (CH)) 13 September 2001 (2001-09-13) page 10 - page 13; figures 3-5 US 6 049 728 A (CHOU MAU-SONG) 1,2,53, X 11 April 2000 (2000-04-11) 57-60 column 4, line 19 - column 9, line 40; claim 16 Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C. Patent family members are listed in annex. X Special categories of cited documents: 'T' later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance 'E' earlier document but published on or after the International "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone *L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such docu-*O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or ments, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed '&' document member of the same patent family Date of the actual completion of the international search Date of mailing of the international search report 5 May 2004 08/06/2004 Name and mailing address of the ISA Authorized officer European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL – 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Birkenmaier, T Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

2

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No PCT/IL2004/000034

Category °	ation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.		
alegory	Chairon of obcument, with indication, where appropriate, or the feet any possession			
A	EP 1 048 265 A (LILIENFELD TOAL HERMANN PROF D) 2 November 2000 (2000-11-02) the whole document	1-61		
A	EP 0 829 224 A (COLUMBUS SCHLEIF UND ZERSPANTE) 18 March 1998 (1998-03-18) the whole document	3,60		
A	US 2002/072657 A1 (BOUSQUET GERALD G ET AL) 13 June 2002 (2002-06-13) the whole document	1-61		
	•			

2

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No
PCT/IL2004/000034

	ent document in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)		Publication date
טוו	9118548	Α	12-12-1991	AT	111706	T	15-10-1994
WO	9110340	••		AU	7967591	Α	31-12-1991
				DE	69104203	D1	27-10-1994
				DE	69104203	T2	19-01-1995
				WO	9118548	A1	12-12-1991
				EP	0536187	A1	14-04-1993
				JP	3212996	B2	25-09-2001
				JP	5507866	T	11-11-1993
WO	0166005	A	13-09-2001	DE	10011284	A1	20-09-2001
	0100000	• •		AU	3355601	Α	17-09-2001
				WO	0166005	A1	13-09-2001
				US	2003050542	A1	13-03-2003
IIS	6049728	 A	11-04-2000	US	5941821	A	24-08-1999
•	0017725	• •		ΕP	0919180	A1	02-06-1999
				JP	3210632	B2	17-09-2001
				JP	11235331	Α	31-08-1999
				TW	408219	В	11-10-2000
EP	1048265	Α	02-11-2000	EP	1048265	A1	02-11-2000
-	•••			JP	2001025465	Α	30-01-2001
				US	6484044	B1	19-11-2002
EP	0829224	Α	18-03-1998	DE	19632864	A1	19-02-1998
				EP	0829224	A2	18-03-1998
US	2002072657	A1	13-06-2002	NONE			

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below see form PCT/ISA/220 Priority date (day/month/year) International filing date (day/month/year) International application No. 13.01.2003 13.01.2004 PCT/L2004/000034 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC A61B5/00 Applicant GLUCON INC. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. III ☐ Box No. IV Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 65.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Authorized Officer Name and mailing address of the ISA: European Patent Office

Birkenmaier, T

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-7784

D-80298 Munich

Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IL2004/000034

_	Box I	No. I Basis of the opinion				
1.	. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
	la	this opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following anguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).				
2.	With i	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and sary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
	a. typ	e of material:				
		a sequence listing				
		table(s) related to the sequence listing				
	b. format of material:					
		in written format				
		in computer readable form				
	c. tim	e of filing/furnishing:				
		contained in the international application as filed.				
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.				
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
3.	h	a addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional opies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as ppropriate, were furnished.				
4.	Addit	onal comments:				

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IL2004/000034

_	Box No. II	Priority								
1.		lowing document ha	s not be	en furnishe	d:					
	\boxtimes	copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).								
		translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).								
	Consec neverth	quently it has not be reless been establis	en possil hed on th	ole to consi ne assumpt	der the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has ion that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.					
2.	nas be	This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filling date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.								
3.	Additional observations, if necessary:									
	Box No. V industrial a	Reasoned staten	nent und	ler Rule 43 explanatio	bis.1(a)(l) with regard to novelty, inventive step or ns supporting such statement					
1.	Statement									
	Novelty (N)		Yes: No:	Claims Claims	3-52, 54-56, 61 1, 2, 53, 57-60					
	Inventive st	ep (IS)	Yes:	Claims	4-52, 54-56					
		- F V - 7								
			No:	Claims	3, 61					

2. Citations and explanations

Re Item V

6 k .

Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1 Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: WO 91/18548 A (CLIFT VAUGHAN) 12 December 1991 (1991-12-12)

D2: WO 01/66005 A (DISETRONIC LICENSING AG ;REIHL BRUNO (CH);

HAUETER ULRICH (CH)) 13 September 2001 (2001-09-13)

- The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1 and 59 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.
- 2.1 Document D1 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

A method of assaying an analyte in a body part comprising: illuminating the body part with at least one pulse of light at each of first and second wavelengths (p. 4, lines 14-16) that stimulates photoacoustic waves (p. 7, lines 25-27) in first, target, region and a second, reference, region of the body part (p. 7, lines 20-23; "...pair of chambers having an open end placed against the skin.."), wherein the reference region interfaces with the target region (Fig. 9; transducer 14 is between the chambers 15 and 15a and therefore the regions interface) and has at least one known optoacoustic property (p. 4, lines 8 - p. 5. line 3; the experimentally derived constants are based on known optoacoustic properties of the "interfering components" (water etc.), which properties are well known in the art) and wherein light at the first wavelength is absorbed and/ or scattered by the analyte (p. 4, lines 8-20);

sensing pressure in the photoacoustic waves from the target and reference regions stimulated by the light at the first and second wavelengths (p. 7, lines 20-27); and using the sensed pressure and the at least one known optoacoustic property to assay the analyte in the target region (p. 6, lines 12-20 and p. 4, lines

8-28; "...the result of the measuring is corrected by taking into account the absorption caused by the interfering components..." (water, protein and fat etc.), which properties are well known in the art).

The subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore not novel (Article 33(2) PCT).

- Claim 59 has been drafted as a further independent claim, this appears to define effectively the same subject-matter as claim 1 and to differ from this claim only with regard to the definition of the subject-matter for which protection is sought in respect of the terminology used for the features of that subject-matter. The same reasoning applies, mutatis mutandis, to the subject-matter of claim 59 as stated above in 2.1, which therefore is also considered **not nove!** (Article 33(2) PCT).
- Dependent claims 2 and 60, which define the same subject-matter, are also anticipated by D1 and therefore not novel (Article 33(2) PCT) (see Fig. 8 and p.11, lines 16-17 "skin").
- 4.1 The subject-matter of dependent claims 3 and 61, which define the same subject-matter, does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT, because D2, which discloses a similar method for assaying substances in body fluid, discloses the use of an artificial implant located in the body (p. 10, line 23-25 "Reflektor") for the same result to be achieved. It would be obvious to the person skilled in the art to apply this method step to the method according to D1.
- 4.2 Dependent claims 53, 57, 58 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step, see the corresponding passages cited in the search report.
- The combination of the features of dependent **claims 4-52**, **54-56** is neither known from, nor rendered obvious by, the available prior art.